ELECTRONICALLY FILED
2018 Sep 27 PM 3:54
CLERK OF THE SHAWNEE COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
CASE NUMBER: 2018-CV-000745



Shawnee County District Court Court:

Case Number: 2018-CV-000745

Case Title: State of Kansas ex rel Derek Schmidt Atty General

vs. Uber Technologies Inc

Consent Judgment Type:

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Honorable Richard Anderson, District Judge

Electronically signed on 2018-09-27 15:54:34 page 1 of 33

Sarah M. Dietz, #27457 Assistant Attorney General Office of the Kansas Attorney General 120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor Topeka, Kansas 66612 (785) 296-3751 Fax: (785)-291-3699

Sarah.dietz@ag.ks.gov

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS

STATE OF KANSAS, ex rel.)	
DEREK SCHMIDT, Attorney General,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	No. 2018-CV-745
)	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)	
)	
Defendant.)	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, STATE OF KANSAS, *ex rel*. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General, has filed a Petition for a permanent injunction and other relief in this matter pursuant to the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623 *et seq.*, and the Wayne Owen Act, K.S.A. 50-6,139 *et seq.*, alleging Defendant, UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("UBER") committed violations of K.S.A. 50-626(b), K.S.A. 50-7a02, and K.S.A. 50-6,139b.

Plaintiff and UBER have agreed to the Court's entry of this Consent Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law, and without admission of any facts alleged or liability of any kind.

Preamble

The Attorneys General of the states and commonwealths of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,

Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii¹, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland², Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah³, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the District of Columbia (collectively, the "Attorneys General," or the "States") conducted an investigation under their respective State Consumer Protection Acts and Personal Information Protection Acts⁴ regarding the data breach involving UBER that occurred in 2016 and that UBER announced in 2017.

Parties

- 1. The Attorney General is charged with enforcement of the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623 *et seq.*, and the Wayne Owen Act, K.S.A. 50-6,139 *et seq.*
- UBER is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103.
- 3. As used herein, any reference to "UBER" or "Defendant" shall mean UBER

 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., including all of its officers, directors, affiliates, subsidiaries
 and divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns doing business in the United States.

¹ Hawaii is represented by its Office of Consumer Protection. For simplicity purposes, the entire group will be referred to as the "Attorneys General," or individually as "Attorney General." Such designations, however, as they pertain to Hawaii, shall refer to the Executive Director of the State of Hawaii Office of Consumer Protection.

² The use of designations "Attorneys General," or individually as "Attorney General," as they pertain to Maryland, shall refer to the Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Maryland Attorney General.

³ Claims pursuant to the Utah Protection of Persoanl Information Act are brought under the direct enforcement authority of the Attorney General. Utah Code § 13-44-301(1). Claims pursuant to the Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act are brought by the Attorney General as counsel for the Utah Division of Consumer Protection, pursuant to the Division's enforcement authority. Utah Code §§ 13-2-1 and 6.

⁴ State law citations (UDAP and PIPAs) – See Appendix A.

However, any affiliate or subsidiary created as a result of an acquisition by UBER after the Effective Date shall not be subject to any requirement of this Consent Judgment until ninety (90) days after the acquisition closes.

Findings

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the petition filed herein and over the parties to this Consent Judgment.
- 5. At all times relevant to this matter, UBER engaged in trade and commerce affecting consumers in the States, including in Kansas, in that UBER is a technology company that provides a ride hailing mobile application that connects drivers with riders. Riders hail and pay drivers using the UBER platform.

<u>Order</u>

NOW THEREFORE, on the basis of these findings, and for the purpose of effecting this Consent Judgment, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- "Covered Conduct" shall mean UBER's conduct related to the data breach involving UBER that occurred in 2016 and that UBER announced in 2017.
- 2. "Data Security Incident" shall mean any unauthorized access to Personal Information owned, licensed, or maintained by UBER.
- 3. "Effective Date" shall be October 25, 2018.
- 4. "Encrypt," "Encrypted," or "Encryption" shall mean rendered unusable, unreadable, or indecipherable to an unauthorized person through a security technology or methodology generally accepted in the field of information security.
- 5. "Personal Information" shall have the definition as set forth in K.S.A. 50-6,139b(a)(3) and K.S.A. 50-7a01(g).

- 6. "Riders and Drivers" or, as applicable, "Rider or Driver" shall mean any individual natural person who is a resident of Kansas who uses UBER's ride hailing mobile applications to request or receive transportation (i.e., riders) or to provide transportation individually or through partner transportation companies (i.e., drivers), other than in connection with Uber Freight or similar services offered by UBER to commercial enterprises.
- 7. "Security Executive" shall be an executive or officer with appropriate background and experience in information security who is designated by UBER as responsible for the Information Security Program. The title of such individual need not be Security Executive.

II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 8. The injunctive terms contained in this Consent Judgment are being entered pursuant to K.S.A. 50-632. Uber shall implement and thereafter maintain the practices described below, including continuing those of the practices that it has already implemented.
- 9. UBER shall comply with the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623 *et seq.*, and the Wayne Owen Act, K.S.A. 50-6,139 *et seq.*, in connection with its collection, maintenance, and safeguarding of Personal Information.
- 10. UBER shall not misrepresent the extent to which UBER maintains and/or protects the privacy, security, confidentiality, or integrity of any Personal Information collected from or about Riders and Drivers.
- 11. UBER shall comply with all the reporting and notification requirements of K.S.A. 50-7a02.
- 12. Specific Data Security Safeguards. No later than ninety (90) days after the Effective

Date and for a period of ten (10) years thereafter, UBER shall:

- a. Prohibit the use of any cloud-based service or platform from a third party for developing or collaborating on code containing any plaintext credential if that credential provides access to a system, service, or location that contains Personal Information of a Rider or Driver unless:
 - UBER has taken reasonable steps to evaluate the data security measures and access controls provided by the service or platform as implemented by UBER;
 - ii. UBER has determined that the data security measures and access controls are reasonable and appropriate in light of the sensitivity of the Personal Information that a plaintext credential appearing in code on the service or platform can access;
 - iii. UBER has documented its determination in writing; and
 - iv. UBER's Security Executive or her or his designee has approved the use of the service or platform.

Access controls for such service or platform shall not be considered reasonable and appropriate if they do not include password protection including strong, unique password requirements and multifactor authentication, *or* the equivalent level of protection through other means such as single sign-on; appropriate account lockout thresholds; and access logs maintained for an appropriate period of time.

 Maintain a password policy for all employees that includes strong password requirements. c. Develop, implement, and maintain a policy regarding the Encryption of Personal Information of Riders and Drivers in the following circumstances. First, the policy shall require the use of Encryption when such information is transmitted electronically over a network. Second, the policy shall require the use of Encryption for backups of databases containing such information when the backups are stored on a third-party, cloud-based service or platform, either through Encryption of Personal Information of Riders and Drivers within the backup or through Encryption of the backup file or location where it is stored. To the extent UBER determines that such Encryption is not reasonably feasible in a particular instance, UBER may instead use effective alternative compensating controls reviewed and approved by UBER's Security Executive or her or his designee.

13. Information Security Program

- a. Within one hundred twenty (120) days after the Effective Date, UBER shall develop, implement, and maintain a comprehensive information security program ("Information Security Program") reasonably designed to protect the security, integrity, and confidentiality of Personal Information collected from or about Riders and Drivers.
- b. The Information Security Program shall be at least compliant with any applicable requirements under Kansas law, and at a minimum, shall be written and shall contain administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to:
 - i. The size and complexity of UBER's operations;
 - ii. The nature and scope of UBER's activities; and

- iii. The sensitivity of the Personal Information of Riders and Drivers that UBER maintains.
- c. At a minimum, the Information Security Program shall include:
 - regular identification of internal and external risks to the security,
 confidentiality, or integrity of Personal Information of Riders and Drivers
 that could result in the unauthorized disclosure, misuse, loss, alteration,
 destruction, or other compromise of such information, and an assessment
 of the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks;
 - ii. the design and implementation of reasonable safeguards to control these risks;
 - iii. regular testing and monitoring of the effectiveness of these safeguards;
 - iv. the evaluation and adjustment of the Information Security Program in light of the results of the testing and monitoring; and
 - v. ongoing training of employees and temporary, contract, and contingent workers concerning the proper handling and protection of Personal Information of Riders and Drivers, the safeguarding of passwords and security credentials for the purpose of preventing unauthorized access to Personal Information, and disciplinary measures for violation of the Information Security Program, including up to termination for employees and permanent removal from UBER for temporary, contract, and contingent workers.

- d. UBER shall ensure that its Information Security Program receives the resources and support reasonably necessary to ensure that the Information Security Program functions as intended.
- e. UBER shall designate a Security Executive who shall be responsible for the Information Security Program.

14. Information Security Program Assessments

- a. Within one year of the Effective Date and biennially for ten (10) years thereafter,
 UBER shall obtain assessments of its Information Security Program.
- b. The assessments shall be performed by an independent third party that: (a) is a Certified Information Systems Security Professional ("CISSP") or a Certified Information Systems Auditor ("CISA"), or a similarly qualified person or organization; and (b) has at least five (5) years of experience evaluating the effectiveness of computer systems or information system security.
- c. The assessments shall set forth the administrative, technical, and physical safeguards maintained by UBER and explain the extent to which the safeguards are appropriate to UBER's size and complexity, the nature and scope of UBER's activities, and the sensitivity of Personal Information of Riders and Drivers that UBER maintains, and thereby meet the requirements of the Information Security Program.
- d. UBER shall provide a copy of the third party's final written report of each
 assessment to the California Attorney General's Office within one hundred twenty
 (120) days after the assessment has been completed.

- i. Confidentiality: The California Attorney General's Office shall treat the report as exempt from disclosure under the relevant public records laws.
- ii. State Access: The California Attorney General's Office may provide a copy of the report received from UBER to any other of the Attorneys General upon request, and each requesting Attorney General shall treat such report as exempt from disclosure as applicable under the relevant public records laws.

15. Incident Response and Data Breach Notification Plan

- a. For a period of two (2) years following the Effective Date, UBER shall report on at least a quarterly basis to Kansas identifying and describing any Data Security Incidents that occurred during the reporting period and are required by any U.S. federal, state, or local law or regulation to be reported to any U.S. federal, state, or local government entity.
- b. UBER shall maintain a comprehensive Incident Response and Data Breach Notification Plan ("Plan"). At a minimum, the Plan shall:
 - i. identify the types of incidents that fall within the scope of the Plan, which
 must include any incident that UBER reasonably believes might be a Data
 Security Incident;
 - ii. clearly describe all individuals' roles in fulfilling responsibilities under thePlan, including back-up contacts and escalation pathways;
 - iii. require regular testing and review of the Plan, and the evaluation and revision of the Plan in light of such testing and review; and

- iv. require that once UBER has determined that an incident is a Data Security Incident, (a) a duly licensed attorney shall decide whether notification is required under applicable law; (b) that determination shall be documented in writing and communicated to UBER's Security Executive and to a member of UBER's legal department with a supervisory role at least at the level of associate general counsel; (c) UBER shall maintain documentation sufficient to show the investigative and responsive actions taken in connection with the Data Security Incident and the determination as to whether notification is required; and (d) UBER shall assess whether there are reasonably feasible training or technical measures, in addition to those already in place, that would materially decrease the risk of the same type of Data Security Incident re-occurring. UBER's Security Executive is responsible for overseeing, maintaining and implementing the Plan.
- c. UBER's Security Executive shall report to the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Legal Officer, and the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis how many Data Security Incidents occurred and how they were resolved, including any payment by UBER in excess of \$5,000 to a third party who reported the Data Security Incident to UBER such as through a bug bounty program (other than a payment to a forensics company retained by UBER).

16. Corporate Integrity Program

a. UBER shall develop, implement, and maintain a hotline or equivalent mechanism for employees to report misconduct, ethical concerns, or violations of UBER's policies, cultural norms, or code of conduct.

- b. UBER shall require an executive or officer with appropriate background and experience in compliance to report to the Board of Directors, or to a committee thereof, at each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors or committee to provide information concerning instances or allegations of misconduct, ethical concerns, or violations of UBER's policies, cultural norms, or code of conduct, including complaints received by the hotline.
- c. No later than ninety (90) days after the Effective Date and for a period of ten (10) years thereafter, UBER shall develop, implement and maintain a process, incorporating privacy by design principles, to review proposed changes to UBER's applications, its products, and any other ways in which UBER uses, collects, or shares data collected from or about Riders and Drivers.
- d. UBER shall develop, implement, and maintain an annual training program for employees concerning UBER's code of conduct.
- e. UBER's Security Executive shall advise the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Legal Officer of UBER's security posture, security risks faced by UBER, and security implications of UBER's business decisions.

Meet and Confer

17. If the Attorney General reasonably believes that UBER has failed to comply with any of Paragraphs 12 through 16 of this Consent Judgment, and if in the Attorney General's sole discretion the failure to comply does not threaten the health or safety of citizens and does not create an emergency requiring immediate action, the Attorney General will notify UBER in writing of such failure to comply and UBER shall have thirty (30) days from receipt of such written notice to provide a good faith written response, including either a

statement that UBER believes it is in full compliance or otherwise a statement explaining how the violation occurred, how it has been addressed or when it will be addressed, and what UBER will do to make sure the violation does not happen again. The Attorney General may agree to provide UBER more than thirty (30) days to respond.

18. Nothing herein shall be construed to exonerate any failure to comply with any provision of this Consent Judgment, or to compromise the authority of the Attorney General to initiate a proceeding for any failure to comply with this Consent Judgment in the circumstances excluded in Paragraph 17 or if, after receiving the response from UBER described in Paragraph 17, the Attorney General determines that an enforcement action is in the public interest.

Payment to the States

19. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, UBER shall pay **One Hundred Forty- Eight Million Dollars** (\$148,000,000) to the Attorneys General, to be distributed as agreed by the Attorneys General. If the Court has not entered this Consent Judgment by the Effective Date, UBER shall pay within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date or within fourteen (14) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, whichever is later. The money received by the Attorneys General pursuant to this paragraph may be used for purposes that may include, but are not limited to, attorneys' fees, and other costs of investigation and litigation, or be placed in, or applied to, any consumer protection law enforcement fund, including future consumer protection or privacy enforcement, consumer education, litigation or local consumer aid fund or revolving fund, used to defray the costs of the inquiry leading hereto, or for other uses permitted by state law, at the sole discretion of the Attorneys General.

Release

20. Upon payment of the amount due to Kansas under this Consent Judgment, the Attorney General shall release and discharge UBER from all civil claims that the Attorney General could have brought under the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623 *et seq.*, the Wayne Owen Act, K.S.A. 50-6,139 *et seq.*, K.S.A. 50-7a02, or common law claims concerning unfair, deceptive, or fraudulent trade practices based on the Covered Conduct. Nothing contained in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the ability of the Attorney General to enforce the obligations that UBER has under this Consent Judgment. Further, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to create, waive, or limit any private right of action.

General Provisions

- 21. The parties understand and agree that this Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an approval or a sanction by the Attorney General of UBER's business practices, nor shall UBER represent that this Consent Judgment constitutes an approval or sanction of its business practices. The parties further understand and agree that any failure by the Attorney General to take any action in response to any information submitted pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an approval or sanction of any representations, acts, or practices indicated by such information, nor shall it preclude action thereon at a later date.
- 22. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as relieving UBER of the obligation to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations, and rules, nor shall any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment be deemed to be permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such laws, regulations, and rules.

- 23. UBER shall deliver a copy of this Consent Judgment to, or otherwise fully apprise, its executive management having decision-making authority with respect to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date.
- 24. To the extent that there are any, UBER agrees to pay all court costs associated with the filing (if legally required) of this Consent Judgment. No court costs, if any, shall be taxed against the Attorney General.
- 25. If any clause, provision, paragraph, or section of this Consent Judgment is for any reason held illegal, invalid, or unenforceable, such illegality, invalidity, or unenforceability shall not affect any other clause, provision, paragraph, or section of this Consent Judgment, and this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced as if such illegal, invalid, or unenforceable clause, provision, paragraph, or section had not been contained herein.
- 26. Any notice or report provided by UBER to the Attorney General under this Consent
 Judgment shall be satisfied by sending notice to the Designated Contacts in *Appendix B*.

 Any notice or report provided by the Attorney General to UBER under this Consent
 Judgment shall be satisfied by sending notice to: Chief Legal Officer, Uber Technologies,
 Inc., 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103; with a copy to Rebecca S.
 Engrav, Perkins Coie LLP, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101.

 All such notices or reports shall be sent by United States mail, certified mail return
 receipt requested, or other nationally recognized courier service that provides for tracking
 services and identification of the person signing for the notice or document, and shall be
 deemed to be sent upon mailing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a sending party
 requests of the receiving party whether transmission by electronic mail is sufficient for a
 particular notice or report and the receiving party agrees, electronic mail may be used if

an electronic return receipt is provided. An Attorney General may update its address by sending a complete, new updated version of *Appendix B* to UBER and to all other Attorneys General listed on *Appendix B*. UBER may update its address by sending written notice to all parties listed in *Appendix B*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE AS OF THE DATE AND TIME SHOWN ON THE ELECTRONIC FILE STAMP.

APPROVED:

PLAINTIFF,

DEREK SCHMIDT, #17781

KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL

Date: 9/25/18

Sarah M. Dietz, #27457

Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Kansas Attorney General

120 S.W. 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor

Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597

Tel: (785) 296-3751 Fax: (785) 291-3699 sarah.dietz@ag.ks.gov

[Additional approvals on subsequent pages]

APPROVED:

DEFENDANT, UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: 9-21-18

Tony West Chief Legal Officer

APPROVED:

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: 9/21/2018

Eliot L. Kaplan, #17068

Perkins Coie LLP

2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000

Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788 Telephone: 602.351.8385

Fax: 602.648.7000

Email: EKaplan@perkinscoie.com

Local Counsel for Uber Technologies, Inc.

Rebecca S. Engrav Perkins Coie LLP

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 359-6168

Email: REngrav@perkinscoie.com

Lead Counsel for Uber Technologies, Inc.

APPENDIX A

STATE	CONSUMER PROTECTION ACTS and PERSONAL INFORMATION PROTECTION ACTS
Alabama	Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ala. Code § 8-19-1, et seq.; Alabama Data Breach Notification Act of 2018, Ala. Code § 8-38-1, et seq.
Alaska	The Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, AS 45.50.471 <i>et seq.</i> ; The Alaska Personal Information Protection Act, AS 45.48 <i>et seq.</i>
Arizona	Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 44-1521 <i>et seq.</i> ; Arizona Data-Breach Notification Law, Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 18-545 (in effect 2016-2018; now codified, as revised, at Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 18-551 and 18-552)
Arkansas	Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. §§ 4-88-101, et seq.; Personal Information Protection Act, Ark. Code Ann. §§ 4-110-101, et seq.
California	California Business & Professions Code, section 17200, <i>et seq.</i> ; California Civil Code, sections 1798.82 and 1798.81.5
Colorado	Colorado Consumer Protection Act, Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101, <i>et seq</i> .
Connecticut	Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a et seq.; Breach of Security re Computerized Data Containing Personal Information, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 36a-701b; Safeguarding of Personal Information, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-471
District of Columbia	D.C. Code §§ 28-3901, et seq.; D.C. Code §§ 28-3851, et seq.
Delaware	Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, 6 Del. C. § 2511, et seq.; Delaware Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 6 Del. C. § 2531, et seq.;

	Delaware Computer Security Breaches Act, 6
	Del. C.§ 12B-100, et seq.
	Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices
Florida	Act, Chapter 501, Part II, Florida Statutes;
	Florida Information Protection Act, Section
	501.171, Florida Statutes
	Fair Business Practices Act, O.C.G.A. §§ 10-
	1-390 through 408;
Georgia	Georgia Personal Identity Protection Act,
	O.C.G.A. §§ 10-1-910 through 912
	Monopolies; Restraint of Trade, Haw. Rev.
Hawa::	Stat. Chpt. 480;
Hawaii	Security Breach of Personal Information,
	Haw. Rev. Stat. Chpt. 487N
	Idaho Consumer Protection Act, Idaho Code
Idaho	§§ 48-601 et seq.;
iuano	Idaho Identity Theft Act, Idaho Code §§ 28-
	51-101 et seq.
	Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive
	Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505/1, et
Illinois	seq.;
	Illinois Personal Information Protection Act,
	815 ILCS 530/1, et seq.
	Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code §
Indiana	24-5-0.5 et seq.;
	Disclosure of Security Breach Act, Ind. Code
	§ 24-4.9 et seq.
	Iowa Consumer Fraud Act, Iowa Code §
Iowa	714.16;
25	Personal Information Security Breach
	Protection, Iowa Code § 715C
17	Kansas Consumer Protection Act K.S.A. 50-
Kansas	623 et seq.;
	Wayne Owen Act K.S.A. 50-6,139b
77 . 1	Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, KRS
Kentucky	367.110300 and 367.990;
	KRS 365.732
Louisiana	Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer
	Protection Law LA RS 51:1401 et seq.;
	Database Security Breach Notification Law
	LA RS 51:3071 et seq.
Maine	Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, 5
	M.R.S.A. §§ 205-A through 214;

	M' M' CD'I CD'I CD'I
	Maine Notice of Risk to Personal Data Act, 10 M.R.S.A. §§ 1346 through 1350-B
	Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md.
	Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.
	(2013 Repl. Vol and 2017 Supp.);
Maryland	Maryland Personal Information Protection
	Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 14-3501,
	et seq. (2013 Repl. Vol and 2017 Supp.)
	Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act
	(G.L. c. 93A);
Massachusetts	Massachusetts Data Security Law (G.L. c.
	93H)
	Michigan Consumer Protection Act, MCL
	445.901, et seq.;
Michigan	Michigan Identity Theft Protection Act, MCL
	445.61, et seq.
	Minnesota Deceptive Trade Practices Act,
	Minn. Stat. §§ 325D.43 et seq.
	Minnesota Prevention of Consumer Fraud
Minnesota	Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 325F.68 et seq.
	Minnesota Data Breach Notification Statute,
	Minn. Stat. § 325E.61.
	Mississippi Consumer Protection Act Miss.
, e	Code Ann. § 75-24-1 et seq.;
Mississippi	Notice of Breach of Security Miss. Code
	Ann. § 75-24-29
Missessi	Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.;
Missouri	Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.1500
	Montana Unfair Trade Practices and
	Consumer Protection Act, Mont. Code Ann.
Montana	§§ 30-14-101 et seq.;
	Montana Impediment of Identity Theft Act,
	Mont. Code Ann. §§ 30-14-1701 et seq.
	Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. §
	59-1601 et seq.;
	Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Neb.
Nebraska	Rev. Stat. § 87-301 et seq.;
	Financial Data Protection and Consumer
	Notification of Data Security Breach Act of
	2006, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 87-801 et seq.
Nevada	Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act; Nev.
	Rev. Stat. §§ 598.0903, et seq.;
	Nevada Security of Personal Information
	Act; Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 603A.010, et seq.

New Hampshire	NH RSA 358-A; NH RSA 359-C: 19-21
New Jersey	New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 et seq.; New Jersey Identity Theft Prevention Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-161 to -166
New Mexico	The New Mexico Unfair Practices Act, NMSA 1978, §§ 57-12-1 to -26 (1967, as amended through 2009); The New Mexico Data Breach Notification Act, NMSA 1978, §§ 57-12C-1 to -12 (2017)
New York	Executive Law 63(12) and General Business Law 349/350
North Carolina	North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 75-1.1, et seq.; North Carolina Identity Theft Protection Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 75-60, et seq.
North Dakota	Unlawful Sales or Advertising Practices N.D.C.C. § 51-15-01 <i>et seq.</i> ; Notice of Security Breach for Personal Information N.D.C.C. § 51-30-01 <i>et seq.</i>
Ohio	Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act, Ohio R.C. 1345.01 <i>et seq.</i> ; Ohio Data Breach Notification Act, R.C. 1349.19 <i>et seq.</i>
Oklahoma	Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, 15 O.S. §§ 751 <i>et seq.</i> ; Security Breach Notification Act, 24 O.S. §§ 161 <i>et seq.</i>
Oregon	Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS 646.605 et seq.; Oregon Consumer Identity Theft Protection Act, ORS 646A.600 et seq.
Pennsylvania	Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. §§ 201-1 – 201-9.3; Breach of Personal Information Notification Act, 73 P.S. § 2301, <i>et seq</i> .
Rhode Island	Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.; Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 11-49.3-1, et seq.
South Carolina	South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act §§39-5-10 et seq.; Section 39-1-90
South Dakota	SDCL 37-24;

	Data Breach Notification SDCL 22-40-19
	through 22-40-26
Tennessee	Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977,
	Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-101 to -131;
	Tennessee Identity Theft Deterrence Act of
	1999, §§ 47-18-2101 to -2111
	Deceptive Trade Practices – Consumer
	Protection Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code
T.	Ann.§§ 17.41-17.63;
Texas	Identity Theft Enforcement and Protection
	Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 521.001
	-152
	Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act, Utah
77. 1	Code §§ 13-11-1, et. seq.;
Utah	Utah Protection of Personal Information Act,
	Utah Code §§ 13-44-101, et. seq.
	Vermont Consumer Protection Act, 9 V.S.A.
**	§§ 2451 et seq.;
Vermont	Vermont Security Breach Notice Act, 9
	V.S.A. § 2435
X7	Breach of Personal Information Notification,
Virginia	Virginia Code § 18.2-186.6
	Š
Washington	
Č	19.255.010
	West Virginia Consumer Credit and
	Protection Act, W.Va. Code § 46A-1-101 et
West Virginia	seq.;
, i	Theft of Consumer Identity Protections,
	W.Va. Code § 46A-2A-101 et seq.
****	100.18;
Wisconsin	Notice of unauthorized acquisition of
	personal information, Wis. Stat. § 134.98
	Wyoming Consumer Protection Act, Wyo.
Wyoming	Stat. Ann. §§ 40-12-101 through -114;
	,
Wisconsin	Virginia Code § 18.2-186.6 Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.020; Notice of Security Breaches law, RCW 19.255.010 West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act, W.Va. Code § 46A-1-101 et seq.; Theft of Consumer Identity Protections, W.Va. Code § 46A-2A-101 et seq. Fraudulent Misrepresentations, Wis. Stat.§ 100.18; Notice of unauthorized acquisition of personal information, Wis. Stat. § 134.98 Wyoming Consumer Protection Act, Wyo.

APPENDIX B

STATE	ATTORNEYS GENERAL DESIGNATED CONTACTS
	Michael G. Dean
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Alabama Attorney General
Alabama	501 Washington Avenue
	Montgomery, Alabama 36130
	mdean@ago.state.al.us
	(334) 353-0415
	Cynthia A. Franklin
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Alaska Attorney General
Alaska	1031 W. 4 th Ave, Suite 200
	Anchorage, AK 99501
	cynthia.franklin@alaska.gov
	(907) 269-5208
	John C. Gray
	Senior Litigation Counsel
	Arizona Attorney General's Office
Arizona	2005 N. Central Ave.
	Phoenix, AZ 85004
	john.gray@azag.gov
	(602) 542-7753
	Peggy Johnson
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Arkansas Attorney General
Arkansas	323 Center St., Suite 200
	Little Rock, AR 72201
	Peggy.johnson@arkansasag.gov
	(501) 682-8062
	Lisa B. Kim
	Deputy Attorney General
	Office of the California Attorney General
California	300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702
	Los Angeles, CA 90013
	Lisa.Kim@doj.ca.gov
	(213) 269-6369
	Mark T. Bailey
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
Colorado	Colorado Attorney General's Office
	1300 Broadway, 7 th Floor
	Denver, Colorado 80203

	mark.bailey@coag.gov
	(720) 508-6202
	Jeremy Pearlman
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Connecticut Attorney General
Connecticut	110 Sherman Street
	Hartford CT 06105
	Jeremy.pearlman@ct.gov
	(860) 808-5440
	Benjamin Wiseman
	Director, Office of Consumer Protection
	Office of the District of Columbia Attorney
	General
District of Columbia	441 4th Street NW, Suite 600S
	Washington, D.C. 20001
	benjamin.wiseman@dc.gov
	(202) 741-5226
	Christian Douglas Wright
	Director of Consumer Protection
	Delaware Department of Justice
Delaware	820 N. French Street
Delawale	
	Wilmington, DE 19801
	christian.wright@state.de.us
	(302) 577-8944
	Edward Moffitt
	Senior Financial Investigator
	Multistate and Privacy Bureau
Florida	Florida Office of the Attorney General
	135 W Central Boulevard
	Orlando, FL 32801-2437
	Edward.Moffitt@myfloridalegal.com
	(407) 845-6388
	Melissa M. Devine
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Georgia Attorney General
Georgia	2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, Suite 356
	Atlanta, GA 30334
	mdevine@law.ga.gov
	(404) 656-3795
	Lisa P. Tong
Hawaii	Enforcement Attorney
	State of Hawaii Office of Consumer Protection
	235 S. Beretania Street #801
	Honolulu, HI 96813
	11011010101, 111 70013

	ltong@dcca.hawaii.gov
	(808) 586-2636
	Jane E. Hochberg
	Deputy Attorney General
	Idaho Office of Attorney General
Idaho	Consumer Protection Division
Idano	954 W. Jefferson Street, 2nd Floor
	Boise, ID 83720-0010
	Jane.Hochberg@ag.idaho.gov
	(208) 332-3553
	Matthew W. Van Hise, CIPP/US
	Assistant Attorney General
	Chief, Privacy Unit
Illinois	500 South Second Street
	Springfield, IL 62701
	mvanhise@atg.state.il.us
	(217) 782-9024
	Douglas Swetnam
	Section Chief, Data Privacy & Identity Theft
	Unit
	Office of the Indiana Attorney General
Indiana	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	302 W. Washington St., IGCS – 5th Floor,
	Indianapolis, IN 46204
	douglas.swetnam@atg.in.gov
	(317) 232-6294
	William R. Pearson
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Attorney General of Iowa
Iowa	1305 E. Walnut Street
	Des Moines, IA 50319
	William.Pearson@ag.iowa.gov
	(515) 242-6773
	Sarah M. Dietz
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of Kansas Attorney General
Kansas	120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor
	Topeka, Kansas 66612
	sarah.dietz@ag.ks.gov
	(785) 296-3751
Kentucky	Kevin R. Winstead
	Assistant Attorney General
	Kentucky Attorney General
	1024 Capital Center Dr., #200
	Frankfort, KY 40601
	TIMINIOI, INT TOUUT

	1
	kevin.winstead@ky.gov
	(502) 696-5379
	Alberto A. De Puy
	Assistant Attorney General
	Louisiana Department of Justice
Louisiana	1885 N. Third Street, 4 th Floor
	Baton Rouge, LA 70802
	depuya@ag.louisiana.gov
	(225) 326-6471
	Brendan O'Neil
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Maine Attorney General
Maine	6 State House Station
	Augusta, ME 04333
	brendan.oneil@maine.gov
	(207) 626-8842
	Richard L. Trumka Jr.
	Assistant Attorney General
	Consumer Protection Division
	Office of the Maryland Attorney General
Maryland	200 St. Paul St.
	Baltimore, MD 21202
	rtrumka@oag.state.md.us
	(410) 576-6957
	Sara Cable
	Director, Data Privacy & Security
	Assistant Attorney General
	Massachusetts Attorney General's Office
Massachusetts	One Ashburton Place
	Boston MA 02108
	sara.cable@state.ma.us
	(617) 963-2827
	Kathy Fitzgerald
	Assistant Attorney General
	Corporate Oversight Division Michigan Department of Attorney Conord
Michigan	Michigan Department of Attorney General 525 W. Ottawa St. 6th Floor
	Lansing, MI 48933
	fitzgeraldk@michigan.gov
	(517) 241-0026
	Alex K. Baldwin
Minnesota	Assistant Attorney General
	Minnesota Attorney General's Office
	445 Minnesota Street

	St. Paul, MN 55101
	alex.baldwin@ag.state.mn.us
	(651) 757-1020
	Crystal Utley Secoy
	Special Assistant Attorney General
	Mississippi Attorney General's Office
Mississippi	PO Box 22947
	Jackson, Mississippi 39225
	cutle@ago.state.ms.us
	(601) 359-4213
	Michael Schwalbert
	Assistant Attorney General
	Missouri Attorney General's Office
Missouri	815 Olive Street, Suite 200
	Saint Louis, Missouri 63101
	michael.schwalbert@ago.mo.gov
	(314) 340-7888
	Mark W. Mattioli
	Chief, Office of Consumer Protection
	Montana Department of Justice
Montana	555 Fuller Avenue
Montana	Helena, MT 59601
	mmattioli@mt.gov
	(404) 444-5791
	Dan Birdsall
	Assistant Attorney General
	Consumer Protection Division
	Nebraska Attorney General's Office
Nebraska	2115 State Capitol
	Lincoln, NE 68509
	dan.birdsall@nebraska.gov
	<u> </u>
	(402) 471-3840 Laura Tucker
	Senior Deputy Attorney General
NI. i	Office of the Nevada Attorney General
Nevada	100 N. Carson Street
	Carson City, NV 89701
	lmtucker@ag.nv.gov
	(775) 684-1244
New Hampshire	James T. Boffetti
	Associate Attorney General
	NH Department of Justice
	33 Capitol Street
	Concord, NH 03301

	james.boffetti@doj.nh.gov
	(603) 271-0302
	Elliott M. Siebers
	Deputy Attorney General
	Office of the New Jersey Attorney General
Novy Jorgan	124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
New Jersey	P.O. Box 45029-5029
	Newark, New Jersey 07101
	elliott.siebers@law.njoag.gov
	(973) 648-4460
	Brian E. McMath
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the New Mexico Attorney General
New Mexico	201 3rd St. NW, Suite 300
TIOW INICATED	Albuquerque NM, 87102
	bmcmath@nmag.gov
	(505) 717-3531
	Clark Russell
	Deputy Bureau Chief
	New York State Office of the Attorney
New York	General
	28 Liberty Street
	New York, NY 10005
	clark.russell@ag.ny.gov
	(212) 416.6494
	Kim D'Arruda
	Special Deputy Attorney General
	North Carolina Department of Justice
North Carolina	114 West Edenton Street
	Raleigh, NC 27603
	kdarruda@ncdoj.gov
	(919) 716-6000
	Parrell D. Grossman
	Director, Consumer Protection & Antitrust
	Division
North Dakota	Office of Attorney General of North Dakota
	1050 East Interstate Ave. Ste. 200
	Bismarck, ND 58503-5574
	pgrossman@nd.gov
	(701) 328-5570
	Melissa Szozda Smith
Ohio	Senior Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Ohio Attorney General
	•
	30 E. Broad Street, Floor 14

	1
	Columbus, OH 43215
	melissa.s.smith@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
	(614) 466.1305
Oklahoma	Julie A. Bays
	Chief, Consumer Protection
	Oklahoma Attorney General's Office
	313 NE 21st Street
	Oklahoma City, OK 73105
	julie.bays@oag.ok.gov
	(405) 522-3082
Oregon	Katherine A. Campbell
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
	Oregon Department of Justice
	100 SW Market Street
	Portland, OR 97201-5702
	katherine.campbell@doj.state.or.us
	(971) 673-1880
	John M. Abel
	Senior Deputy Attorney General
	Office of the Pennsylvania Attorney General
Pennsylvania	15th Floor, Strawberry Square
	Harrisburg, PA 17120
	jabel@attorneygeneral.gov
	(717) 783.1439
	Edmund F. Murray, Jr.
	Special Assistant Attorney General
	Rhode Island Department of Attorney General
Rhode Island	150 South Main Street
Tulous Island	Providence, Rhode Island 02903
	emurray@riag.ri.gov
	(401) 274-4400 ext. 2401
	Chantelle Neese
	Assistant Attorney General
	South Carolina Attorney General's Office
South Carolina	1000 Assembly Street
South Caronna	Columbia, SC 29201
	cneese@scag.gov
	(803) 734-2346
	Philip D. Carlson
	Assistant Attorney General
South Dakota	South Dakota Attorney General
	1302 E. Hwy. 14, Ste. 1
	Pierre, SD 57501
	Phil.Carlson@state.sd.us
	1 III. Carison & state. su. us

	(605) 772 2015
	(605) 773-3215
Tennessee	Carolyn Smith
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
	Tennessee Attorney General's Office
	P.O.Box 20207
	Nashville, TN 37202-0207
	carolyn.smith@ag.tn.gov
	(615) 532-2578
Texas	D. Esther Chavez
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Texas Attorney General
	PO Box 12548, MC- 010
	Austin, TX 78711-2548
	esther.chavez@oag.texas.gov
	(512) 475-4628
Utah	David N. Sonnenreich
	Deputy Attorney General
	Office of the Utah Attorney General
	PO Box 140874
	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0874
	dsonnenreich@agutah.gov
	(801) 366-0132
	Ryan Kriger
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Vermont Attorney General
Vermont	109 State St.
	Montpelier, VT 05609
	ryan.kriger@vermont.gov
	(802) 828-3170
	Gene Fishel
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
Virginia	Office of the Virginia Attorney General
	202 North 9th Street
	Richmond, VA 23219
	sfishel@oag.state.va.us
	(804) 786-3870
Washington	Tiffany Lee
	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Washington Attorney General
	800 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
	Seattle, WA 98104
	tiffanyc@atg.wa.gov
	(206) 464-6098
West Virginia	Laurel K. Lackey

	Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the West Virginia Attorney General
	269 Aikens Center
	Martinsburg, WV 25404
	laurel.k.lackey@wvago.gov
	(304) 267-0239
Wisconsin	Lara Sutherlin
	Assistant Attorney General
	Wisconsin Department of Justice
	17 West Main Street, PO Box 7857
	Madison, WI 53707-7857
	sutherlinla@doj.state.wi.us
	(608) 267-7163
Wyoming	Benjamin M. Burningham
	Senior Assistant Attorney General
	Office of the Wyoming Attorney General
	2320 Capitol Ave.
	Cheyenne, WY 82002
	ben.burningham@wyo.gov
	(307) 777-7847