James J. Welch, # 09546 Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Kansas Judicial Center Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597 (785) 296-3751 STATE OF KANSAS JEFFERSON COUNTY FILED.

'01 FEB 23 PM 2 53

CLERK OF DIST COURT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, KANSAS Division

STATE OF KANSAS, ex rei.,) CARLA J. STOVALL, Attorney General,) Plaintiff,) v. David M. Pickett) Defendant.)

(Pursuant to K.S.A. Chapter 60)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

NOW on this <u>23</u> day of <u>4</u> day of <u>4</u> day, 2000, comes before the Court the Journal Entry of Consent Judgment entered into between the parties, pursuant to K.S.A. 50-632(b). Plaintiff, State of Kansas, *ex rel.*, Carla J. Stovall, Attorney General, appears by and through James J. Welch, Assistant Attorney General. Defendant appears by and through Bruce Harrington.

WHEREUPON, the parties advise the Court they have stipulated and agree to the following matters:

1. Carla J. Stovall is the Attorney General of the State of Kansas.

2. The Attorney General's authority to bring this action is derived from statutory and common law of Kansas, specifically, the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623, *et seq*.

3. Defendant was an individual working for Everlast Metal Buildings.

4. Defendant is a supplier within the definition of K.S.A. 50-624(i) and has engaged in

consumer transactions in Kansas within the definitions of K.S.A. 50-624(c).

- 5. Defendant was employed by a business that sold and constructed metal buildings.
- 6. Defendant admits the Court has personal and subject matter jurisdiction.
- 7. The Attorney General alleges Defendant engaged in the following acts and practices

which are deceptive and/or unconscionable and violate the Kansas Consumer Protection Act:

(a) Defendant solicited consumers for construction of metal buildings. Defendant failed to start projects for which consumer paid Defendant. Defendant's failure to deliver products and services for which Defendant had received money from consumers is a deceptive act and practice as defined by K.S.A.§50-626(b)(2), in that it is the willful use of exaggeration, falsehood, innuendo and ambiguity as to a material fact.

(b) Defendant's failure to deliver products and services for which Defendant had received money from consumers, as described in paragraph 7(a) of this Journal Entry of Consent Judgment is also an unconscionable act and practice as defined by K.S.A.§50-627(b)(5), in that the transactions Defendant induced consumers to enter into were excessively one-sided in favor of the supplier.

(C) Defendant's failure to deliver products and services for which Defendant had received money from consumers, as described in paragraph 7(a) of this Journal Entry of Consent Judgement in also an unconscionable act and practice as defined by K.S.A.§50-627(b)(3), in that the consumers were unable to receive a material benefit from the subject of the transaction.

8. Defendant agrees to this Consent Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue

of fact or law and denies each and every one of the Attorney General's allegations set forth herein.

9. Defendant agrees to refrain from and to be permanently enjoined from engaging in

acts and practices described in paragraph seven (7) in violation of the Kansas Consumer Protection

Act. Defendant agrees that engaging in acts or similar acts after the date of this Consent Judgment

shall constitute a violation of this Order.

10. The provisions of this Consent Judgment will be applicable to Defendant, and every employee, agent or representative of Defendant.

11. Defendant agrees to be permanently enjoined from entering into, forming, organizing or reorganizing into any partnership, corporation, sole proprietorship or any other legal structures, for the purpose of avoiding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

12. Defendant agrees to pay a total of \$5,131.42 in restitution to consumers Don Jones (\$200), Leon Coker (\$2,000), RKP Hendrickson (\$1,131.42), and Kenneth Gates (\$1,800). Consumers listed who have received a full refund, in the amount listed, prior to this Journal Entry of Consent Judgment being filed shall not be entitled to a second, and duplicative, refund.

13. Defendant agrees to pay the amount of \$200 per month until full restitution has been $f \in \mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{A}$ made. Payments will begin on Jamary 1st, 2001 and be due on the first of subsequent months. Failure to remit payment on time is a violation of this Judgement and will result in the remaining unpaid balance becoming due immediately.

14. Defendant further agrees to be permanently enjoined from engaging in the business of selling or constructing metal buildings within the State of Kansas.

15. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Consent Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification of any of the provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance herewith, and for the punishment of violations thereof.

16. If any portion, provision or part of this Consent Judgment is held to be invalid, unenforceable, or void for any reason whatsoever, that portion shall be severed from the remainder and shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining provisions, portions or parts.

17. Compliance with this Consent Judgment does not relieve Defendant of any obligation imposed by applicable federal, state or local law, nor shall the Attorney General be precluded from taking appropriate legal action to enforce civil or criminal statutes under her jurisdiction.

18. The parties understand this Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an approval of or sanction by the Attorney General of the business practices of Defendant nor shall Defendant represent the decree as such an approval. The parties further understand that any failure by the State of Kansas or by the Attorney General to take any action in response to any information submitted pursuant to the Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an approval of or sanction of any representations, acts or practices indicated by such information, nor shall it preclude action thereon at a later date.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the stipulation and agreement of the parties contained herein are adopted and approved as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Court and any monies owed hereunder by Defendants immediately become a judgment upon filing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment is entered against Defendant David M. Pickett, and in favor of Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,131.42.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, and the provisions of K.S.A. 50-632(b), the Court hereby approves the terms of the Consent Judgment and adopts the same as the Order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY GARY L. NAFZIGER Judge of the District Court

PREPARED AND APPROVED BY:

ouall y John Comphell CARLA J. STOVALL, #11433

Attorney General

lel Uner

James J. Welch, # 09546 Assistant Attorney General Kansas Judicial Center Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597 (785) 296-3751 Attorney for Plaintiff

APPROVED BY:

Bruce C. Harrington, #06766 1243 South Topeka Ave, Ste. A Topeka, KS 66612-1852 Attorney for Defendant